



## Urine versus Pre-mix (Sugar: Salt): Baits for Stingless Bees (Hymenoptera: Meliponini)

Kumara, T. K.<sup>1\*</sup>, Farisya, M. S. N.<sup>1</sup>, Wan Noor Aida, W. M.<sup>1</sup>, Suhaimi Omar<sup>1</sup>, Marcela, P.<sup>2</sup> and Aurifullah, M.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agro Based Industry, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Jeli Campus, 17600 Jeli, Kelantan, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Minden, Penang, Malaysia

### ABSTRACT

Stingless bees are dispersed throughout Malaysia and form an important group of pollinators in agriculture and natural ecosystems. A study was conducted at Lojing Highland in Kelantan, Malaysia on the preference of stingless bees towards pre-mix bait [sugar and salt (1:2) (sugar: water:v:v)] with 2.5g NaCl added per 500 ml of solution] and urine bait for two consecutive days. A total of 285 stingless bees of 15 species were sampled for this purpose. Overall, stingless bees showed no preference for either bait, but a closer examination showed species-level preferences. Five species preferred urine bait over pre-mix bait, and another eight preferred pre-mix bait over urine bait. No significant differences were found on stingless bees preferences towards pre-mix bait and urine baits ( $p>0.05$ ). Five stingless bee species (*Lisotrigona scintillans*, *Pariotrigona pendleburyi*, *Lepidotrigona ventralis*, *Tetrigona apicalis*, and *Tetragonula collina*) were found to be frequently attracted to the urine bait compared with pre-mix bait. Meanwhile, eight stingless bee species attracted to pre-mix bait compared with urine bait. This study shows that stingless bees' preference for pre-mix or urine baits depends on species.

**Keywords:** Stingless bee, pre-mix, urine, bait preference

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 27 May 2015

Accepted: 8 April 2016

#### E-mail addresses:

kumara\_k2001@yahoo.com (Kumara, T. K.),  
farisya\_1991@yahoo.com (Farisya, M. S. N.),  
aidamuhamad123@gmail.com (Wan Noor Aida, W.M.)  
suhaimiomar@umk.edu.my (Suhaimi Omar)  
marcela.fun@gmail.com (Marcela, P.)  
aurifullah@umk.edu.my (Aurifullah, M.)

\* Corresponding author

### INTRODUCTION

Compared to *Apis*, stingless bees (Apidae: Meliponini) have 50 times more species and are very different biologically (Roubik, 2006). The stingless bees are dispersed throughout most parts of Malaysia and form an important group of pollinators in agriculture and natural ecosystems (Hannah

et al., 2012). In Asia, there are 43 recognised species belonging to two genera, namely, *Lisotrigona* and *Trigona* (Michener, 2007). The genus *Trigona*, comprising of 120 species, were placed into 10 subgenera including *Homotrigona*, *Lepidotrigona* and *Heterotrigona* (Chinh et al., 2005). Recently, these subgenera have been upgraded to genera (Rasmussen, 2008). Malaysia hosts a rich diversity of stingless bees; 29 species have been identified so far (Eltz & Bru, 2003; Mohd Norowi et al., 2010; Hannah et al., 2012).

Many studies have been conducted in forest ecosystems to document the diversity of stingless bee species using different baiting methods (Boontop et al., 2008). Baits include mixture of honey, water and salt (Boontop et al., 2008), sugar solution with added lemon or rose essence (Hannah et al., 2012), diluted honey (Salmah et al., 1990) and odour baits (Pedro & Cordeiro, 2015). However, not all stingless bees are attracted to sugar baits. *Trigona necrophaga*, *T. hypogea* and *T. crassipes* have an obligate necrophagy habit (Camargo et al., 2012), whereas in Thailand, *Lisotrigona cacciae*, *L. furva* and *Pariotrigona klossi* (Meliponini, Apidae) workers drink tears from human eyes (Banziger et al., 2009). Thus, when studying the diversity of stingless bees, using just one type of bait would make the sample bias to specific groups and fail to capture a more comprehensive representation of the stingless bee community of the study area. In order to better understand how bait types may affect the type of stingless bees

sampled, this study examined the stingless bee preference towards pre-mix (sugar: salt) and urine baits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Lojing Highland located in Kelantan, Malaysia, for the period of 2 days (23<sup>rd</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> January 2014). This sampling site is located at an altitude of 800 to 1000 meters above the sea level, which is categorised as an upper dipterocarp forest or lower montane forest. Two parallel transects, each 100m long, were used. The distance between the parallel transect was 1.5 m. In each transect, 10 baiting stations were installed at the distance of 10 meter apart. This was made into two replicates [sampling location coordinates – (04° 38' 01.7" N 101° 30' 21.0" E) (04° 38' 09.4"N; 101°30' 19.2" E)].

The pre-mixed bait was prepared by mixing sugar and water (1: 2), added with 2.5g NaCl per 500 ml of solution (Boontop et al., 2008). The urine was collected overnight from a person. At each baiting station, the pre-mix solution and urine were sprayed (~20 ml). The bait station was ~1 m in diameter. The spraying commenced between 0800-0900 hours. The bees attracted to these bait spots were sampled for a maximum duration time of five (5) minutes in each baiting station, twice daily (9 a.m. – 11 a.m. and 2 p.m. - 4 p.m.). The same observations were done for both days. Sweep net was used to capture and collect the stingless bees. The captured stingless bees were then placed in a killing jar and preserved in 70% alcohol. The specimens

were brought back to Biology Laboratory, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan; they were pinned and preserved and identified using taxonomic keys provided by Sakagami et al. (1990).

### Statistical Analysis

Collected data were subjected for normality test and were found not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test,  $p < 0.05$ ). Therefore, Wilcoxon Rank Sum was performed to analyse any significant differences in the frequency of stingless bee captured using both the baits. Statistical analysis was done using JMP 8.0 (SAS Ins.).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 285 stingless bee specimens of 15 species were sampled for the duration of 2 days. There was no significant difference in the frequency of the stingless bees sampled using the pre-mixed bait and urine ( $p > 0.05$ ) although more specimens were sampled for the pre-mixed baits (see Table 1). In particular, *Tetragonula geissleri* attracted to the pre-mixed bait twice as much compared with urine bait, whereas *Lepidotrigona ventralis* was found to prefer urine bait compared to the pre-mixed bait (Table 1). Five stingless bee species (*Lisotrigona scintillans*, *Pariotrigona pendleburyi*, *Lepidotrigona ventralis*, *Tetrigona apicalis* and *Tetragonula collina*) were found attracted to the urine bait compared to the pre-mixed bait. Meanwhile, eight (8) species attracted to the pre-mixed bait compared to the urine bait (Table 1). Throughout the sampling period, the *Lepidotrigona*

*ventralis* was also found to be attracted to wet socks and sweat. Baiting stingless bees using pre-mix bait is a commonly accepted technique (Boontop et al., 2008; Hannah et al., 2012) with the assumption that all stingless bees are attracted to sugar. A recent study in Thailand showed that using 50% (v/v) honey solution was able to attract 12 species of stingless bees (Jongjitvimol & Petchsri, 2015). Others experimented with odour baits (cineole, vanillin, benzyl acetate, methyl salicylate, eugenol and benzyl benzoate) to attract *Trichotrigona* but failed (Pedro & Cordeiro, 2015). However, this study found that some species preferred urine compared with pre-mixed baiting. Therefore, stingless bees might get attracted to the salt content in both the baits tested. Nevertheless, the subject matter needs to be further tested and scientifically validated. In addition, it was noticed during the sampling that the baiting stations which were exposed to sunlight attracted more stingless bees compared to those baiting stations located under the forest canopy. This could be due to the light intensity as a number of stingless bee catches were found to be positively correlated with transmission of light (Boontop et al., 2008).

## CONCLUSION

In sum, the stingless bees in our study did not show any preference for either urine or pre-mixed baits ( $p > 0.05$ ). Certain species were sampled at one bait more than double the other, though statistical tests were not performed. For a well distributed stingless bee sample, we suggest that different baits

Table 1

*The frequency of stingless bees sampled from the pre-mixed baits and urine baits*

Species	Pre-mix (sugar: salt)	Urine
<i>Geniotrigona thoracica</i>	1	1
<i>Lisotrigona scintillans</i>	2	12
<i>Pariotrigona pendleburyi</i>	7	13
<i>Heterotrigona erythrogastra</i>	13	5
<i>Lepidotrigona trochanterica</i>	5	0
<i>Lepidotrigona ventralis</i>	18	25
<i>Heterotrigona itama</i>	9	2
<i>Tetragonula geissleri</i>	61	15
<i>Tetrigona apicalis</i>	1	6
<i>Trigonella lieftinicki</i>	3	0
<i>Trigonella moorei</i>	9	0
<i>Tetragonula collina</i>	4	10
<i>Tetragonula laeviceps</i>	13	0
<i>Tetragonula reepeni</i>	37	12
<i>Tetrigona atripes</i>	1	0
Total	184	101

are to be applied. In addition, biotic and abiotic factors should not be ignored as different stingless bees might have different foraging time, weather condition, and foraging distance.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all the laboratory assistants and a native Temiar guide involved for their kind help throughout the Lojing Scientific Expedition, 2014. Our gratitude also goes to the Ministry of Education, Malaysia (R/RAGS/A 07.00/00760A/002/2012/000092), Faculty of Agro Based Industry and Faculty of Earth Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, for the financial support.

## REFERENCES

- Bänziger, H., Boongird, S., Sukumalanand, P., & Bänziger, S. (2009). Bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) That Drink Human Tears. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 82(2), 135-150.
- Boontop, Y., Malaipan, S., Chareansom, K., & Wiwatwittaya, D. (2008). Diversity of Stingless Bees (Apidae: Meliponini) in Thong Pha Phum District, Kanchanaburi Province, Thailand. *Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science*, 42(3), 444-456.
- Camargo, J. M. F. (2012). Chapter 2-Historical Biogeography of the Meliponini Hymenoptera, Apidae, Apinae) of the Neotropical Region. In P. Vit, S. R. M. Pedro, & D. Roubik (Eds.), *Pot-Honey* (pp. 19-34.). New York, NY: Springer New York.
- Chinh, T. X., Sommeijer, M. J., Boot, W. J., & Michener, C. D. (2005). Nest architecture and colony characteristics of three stingless bees

- in North Vietnam with the first description of the nest of *Lisotrigona carpenteri* Engel (Hymenoptera: Apidae, Meliponini). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, 78(4), 26–39.
- Eltz, T., & Bru, C. A. (2003). Nesting and nest trees of stingless bees (Apidae: Meliponini) in lowland dipterocarp forests in Sabah, Malaysia, with implications for forest management. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 172(2), 301–313.
- Hannah, S. M. W., Ahmad, D. D., Harrison, R. D., Fletcher, C., Abdul R. K., & Potts, M. D. (2012). Stingless bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini) diversity in dipterocarp forest reserves in peninsular Malaysia. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 60(1), 213–219.
- Jongjitvimol, T., & Petchsri, S. (2015). Native bee pollinators and pollen sources of Apidae (Hymenoptera) in four forest types of Lower Northern Thailand. *Sains Malaysiana*, 44(4), 529–536.
- Michener, C. D. (2007). *The Bees of the World* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn.). Baltimore, Maryland: The Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 803–829.
- Mohd Norowi, Mohd, F., Sajap, A. S., Rosliza, J., & Suri, R. (2010). *Conservation and sustainable utilization of stingless bees for pollination services in agricultural ecosystems in Malaysia*. In International Seminar on Enhancement of Functional Biodiversity Relevant to Sustainable Food Production in ASPAC (pp. 1–11). Tsukuba, Japan.
- Rasmussen, C. (2008). *Catalog of the Indo-Malayan/Australasian stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponini)*. Magnolia Press, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Roubik, D. W. (2006). Review article Stingless bee nesting biology. *Apidologie*, 37, 124–143.
- Sakagami, S. F., Ohgushi, R.-I., & Roubik, D. W. (1990). *Natural history of social wasps and bees in equatorial Sumatra*: Hokkaido University Press, pp. 132–137.
- Salmah, S., Inoue, T., & Sakagami S. F. (1990). An analysis of Apid Bee richness (apidae) in central Sumatra. In S. F. Sakagami, R. Ohgushi, & D. W. Roubik (Eds.), *Natural history of social wasps and bees in equatorial Sumatra* (pp. 139–163). Sapporo: Hokkaido University Press.
- Pedro, R. M. S., & Cordeiro, G. D. (2015). A new species of the stingless bee *Trichotrigona* (Hymenoptera: Apidae, Meliponini). *Zootaxa*, 3956(3), 389–402.

